
Please circle or place a mark in the box for	r each category that best describes	your opinion of the student's work

	Fair			Average			Exceptional
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Background / Introduction / Context	Confusing, limited in relevance			Useful, but also somewhat unclear or lacking			Very thorough; easy to follow; balanced relevancy with comprehension
Purpose	Poorly stated; not well supported by literature or other arguments			Addressed, but additional clarification needed			Clearly articulated; well supported by literature and/or other compelling rationale
Design	Incomplete, inappropriate, or poorly explained			Clearly or well described, but lacking detail or may not be best approach given purpose			Well crafted, appropriate for project; contained necessary and relevant details
Results/Outcomes	Briefly communicated; not relevant or improperly completed			Presented well, but more comprehensive detail is required; unclear or not directly relevant			Clearly and concisely communicated; mastery of statistics, interpretation, etc.
Conclusions	Not supported by data or outcome indicators			Warranted overview with limitations identified, but required additional clarification and/or could have been expanded			Strong implications for changing future practice; limitations well identified
Impact	Limited contribution overall to the field/area of study			May have some influence on the field/area of study, but limited			Significant influence; makes a substantial contribution to the field
Poster Appearance / Layout	Disjointed; misspellings, grammatical errors			Easy to read with clear titles and headings, but improvement needed			Visually effective; careful preparation; conveys intentions
Overall Impressions	Considerable room for improvement			Good attempt; all elements included, but refinement required			Impressive example of important and well presented scholarship

Total Score: _____/56

Evaluator Name: _____