

COHS GA Application Scoring Criteria

Does the applicant provide a clear description of how the GA will support the overall mission of the unit. Strong answers will provide detail identifying the specific ways in which the GA will support teaching, research and service.

- 1 = lacks a description in more than one area (e.g., teaching, research, service)
- 3 = Provides some detail, but lacks clarity in one area of the mission
- 5 = provides nice description GA engagement in all areas

Description of research activities. Strong answers will clearly identify specific aims of the planned work, and establish reasonable goals/deliverables consistent with the planned activities.

- 1 = lacks a clear description of aims, goals, and deliverables
- 3 = provides some reasonable activities but does not tie them to specific goals/deliverables
- 5 = clearly describes aims and identifies activities that align with aims, goals/deliverables

Description of how the GA will promote your success as a faculty member and/or the success of the department, school, or program. Strong answers will align the effort/duties of the GA with scholarly progress of the mentor, including, but not limited to advancing the lab, pursuing funding, etc.

- 1 = lacks a clear description of how the GA support will result in the scholarly progress of the faculty mentor
- 3 = provides some description or a general description but lacks specific scholarly goals or milestones, etc.
- 5 = clearly demonstrates that the GA support will advance the scholarly productivity and advancement of the faculty mentor

Regardless of whether you have had GAs in the past, please describe your mentorship style. Strong answers will discuss mentorship with respect to teaching, research and service.

- 1 = lacks a clear description of approach to mentorship in all areas (teaching research service)
- 3 = provides limited mentorship in 1 or more areas (teaching/research service)
- 5 = clearly describes approach and commitment to mentor the GAs in all areas (teaching, research, service)

Faculty member already has GA support for 2021-2022

- Yes = 1
- No = 3

Applying for GA support through other external funding sources

- No = 1
- Yes = 4

Initial review range = 6 to 27 points

Applications also require responses to questions about the applicant's previously supervised GAs. These will only be used in tie-breaker situations.

Reviewers: Please complete the following rubric. must complete the following. You must also include comments for any scores less than 4 points on items 1 - 4 in the rubric.

Name of mentor/applicant:

Academic Unit:

Application type (circle one):

1-year continuing student

2-year new student

ITEM	1pt	2pts	3pts	4pts	5pts	TOTAL
1. Supports mission of unit	lacks a description in more than one area (e.g., teaching, research, service)		Provides some detail, but lacks clarity in one area of the mission		clearly describes aims and identifies activities that align with aims, goals/deliverables	/5
2. Description of research activities.	lacks a clear description of aims, goals, and deliverables		provides some reasonable activities but does not tie them to specific goals/deliverables		clearly describes aims and identifies activities that align with aims, goals/deliverables	/5
3. Promotes faculty success	lacks a clear description of how the GA support will result in the scholarly progress of the faculty mentor		provides some description or a general description but lacks specific scholarly goals or milestones, etc.		clearly demonstrates that the GA support will advance the scholarly productivity and advancement of the faculty mentor	/5
4. Mentorship style	lacks a clear description of approach to mentorship in all areas (teaching research service)		provides limited mentorship in 1 or more areas (teaching/research service)		clearly describes approach and commitment to mentor the GAs is all areas (teaching, research, service)	/5
5. Has other GA support for 2021-2022	Yes	XXX	No	XXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	/3
6. Is applying for GA support through external funding	No	XXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Yes	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	/4
						/27

In the event of ties, the review panel will also consider the answers to questions about productivity of the applicants' previously supervised GAs.

COMMENTS: